UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MELANIE DAVIS,	Case No
Plaintiff,	
v.	COMPLAINT
PLAZA NORTH STATION LLC,	
Defendant	Injunctive Relief Sought

Plaintiff Melanie Davis, by and through the undersigned counsel, brings this action against Plaza North Station LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, for violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.* (the "ADA") and its implementing regulations, and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this civil rights action against Defendant for failing to design, construct, and/or own or operate facilities that are fully accessible to, and independently usable by, persons with disabilities.
- 2. Defendant owns and operates the multi-tenant commercial building known as "Plaza North", which to the extent was constructed and first occupied after January 26, 1993 or was altered after January 26, 1993 was required to be designed and constructed to be readily accessible to persons with disabilities. To the extent "Plaza North" was not constructed or altered before January 26, 1993, it was required to remove barriers to access to the extent barrier removal was readily achievable. "Plaza North" contains architectural barriers to accessibility.

- 3. The violations alleged in this complaint occurred at "Plaza North", located at 5413 North 90th Street, Omaha, NE 68134.
- 4. Defendant's failure to provide equal access to "Plaza North" violates the mandates of the ADA to provide full and equal enjoyment of a public accommodation's goods, services, facilities, privileges, and advantages.
- 5. Defendant's conduct constitutes an ongoing and continuous violation of the law.
- 6. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks a declaration that Defendant's facilities violate federal and an injunction requiring Defendant to make modifications to the facilities so that they are fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with disabilities. Plaintiff further requests that the Court retain jurisdiction over this matter for a period to be determined to ensure that Defendant continues to comply with the relevant requirements of the ADA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(3). This action includes federal law claims brought pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181–12189. The Court has the jurisdiction to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and Fed R. Civ. P. 57.
- 8. Venue in this judicial district is proper because Defendant is located and transacts business within this judicial district and has sufficient contacts to be subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district, and because this is the judicial district in which the acts and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Melanie Davis is a resident of Omaha, Nebraska. Plaintiff Davis grew up in Omaha, Nebraska and lived there until she moved to Minnesota in 2005. While living in Minnesota, she visited Omaha many times, including five visits in 2016-2017. She moved back to Omaha in 2017, where she now resides.
- 10. Plaintiff Melanie Davis suffers from, and all times relevant hereto has suffered from, Cerebral Palsy, a condition that substantially limits her ability to walk and stand, and is therefore a legal disability as defined by the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2). Plaintiff is therefore a member of a protected class under the ADA, under the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 C.F.R. § 36.101 *et seq*. As a person with a disability, Plaintiff Davis has a personal interest in having full and equal access to places of public accommodation and to the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or other things offered therein.
- 11. Defendant Plaza North Station LLC, a Delaware limited liability company is the owner and lessor of the real property and improvements which are the subject of this action, the multi-tenant commercial building known as "Plaza North", a place of public accommodation within the meaning of the ADA, located at the street address of 5413 North 90th Street, Omaha, NE 68134.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

12. Plaintiff Davis attempted to patronize the multi-tenant commercial building known as "Plaza North" in Omaha, Nebraska on February 14, 2018, and again on July 7, 2018. Plaintiff has also visited "Plaza North" on several other occasions. "Plaza North" has tenant businesses such as Baker's Grocery Store, Papa Murphy's, Hong Hing Restaurant, and Edna's Crowning Glory Salon.

- 13. When Plaintiff visited "Plaza North" she found approximately 772 total parking spaces in the customer parking lot and 38 parking spaces reserved through paint or signage for people who have disabilities.
- 14. Plaintiff found that 10 of these reserved parking spaces were not located near an active tenant business entrance.
- 15. One reserved parking space located near an active tenant entrance was reserved with a sign that had been completely faded.
- 16. A photograph in Exhibit A to this Complaint depicts this sign as it appeared on Plaintiff's February 14, 2018 visit.
- 17. One reserved parking space located near an active tenant entrance was not reserved through posted signage, and all other reserved parking spaces located near active tenant entrances were reserved through signage posted low to the ground.
- 18. A photograph in Exhibit B to this Complaint depicts the parking space not reserved with posted signage, as it appeared on Plaintiff's July 7, 2018 visit.
- 19. The space directly behind a reserved parking space and the access aisle adjacent to two reserved parking spaces had a drain that could have caused one of Plaintiff's wheelchair wheels to get stuck.
- 20. Photographs in Exhibit C to this Complaint depict the drain as it appeared on Plaintiff's February 14, 2018 and July 7, 2018 visits.
- 21. The paint defining some reserved parking spaces and their adjacent access aisles had faded or degraded to the point drivers parked their vehicles in access aisles and would drive through areas normally hashed off but adjacent to the vehicular way.
- 22. Photographs in Exhibit D to this Complaint depict the fading paint as it appeared on Plaintiff's February 14, 2018, and July 7, 2018 visits.

- 23. The sidewalk between Papa Murphy's and Edna's Crowning Glory Salon and other tenant entrances was too steep for Plaintiff to independently and safely travel.
- 24. An image in Exhibit E from Google Maps depicts the sidewalk between Papa Murphy's and other active tenant business entrances.
- 25. Some parking spaces in the "Plaza North" parking lot reserved as accessible parking spaces had slopes that made a safe transfer between Plaintiff's vehicle and wheelchair more difficult.
- 26. In light of the architectural barriers at "Plaza North", Plaintiff is deterred from visiting "Plaza North" in the future. Plaintiff Davis intends to return to "Plaza North", but these architectural barriers deter her from doing so. She plans to return and patronize "Plaza North" when she learns that the premises have been made fully accessible to persons who use wheelchairs for mobility.
- 27. Plaintiff is a resident of Omaha, Nebraska, and she plans to continue visiting local Omaha businesses in the future and would enjoy being able to patronize tenant businesses of Defendant's multi-tenant commercial building.
- 28. Plaintiff attempted to access Defendant's premises but could not do so independently on a full and equal basis because of her disabilities, due to the physical barriers to access and violations of the ADA that exist at Defendant's premises. As a result of Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA, Plaintiff cannot independently access the facilities and/or is excluded from full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, privileges, advantages, and/or accommodations offered therein.

THE ADA AND ITS IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS

29. On July 26, 1990, President George H.W. Bush signed into law the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101, *et seq.*, a comprehensive civil rights law prohibiting discrimination on the basis of disability. In its findings, Congress determined that, among other things:

- a. Some 43 million Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole grows older;
- Historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, discrimination against individuals with disabilities continues to be a serious and pervasive social problem;
- c. Discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas as employment, public housing accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting, and access to public services;
- d. Individuals with disabilities continually encounter various forms of discrimination; and
- e. The continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our society is justly famous, and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and nonproductivity.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1)–(3), (5), (9).

- 30. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:
 - a. Provide a clear and comprehensive mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
 - b. Provide clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and

c. Invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the Fourteenth Amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day-to-day by individuals with disabilities.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1), (2), (4).

- 31. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination in the activities and facilities of places of public accommodation, and requires places of public accommodation to comply with ADA standards and to be readily accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. § 12181–89.
- 32. The ADA provided places of public accommodation one and one half years from its enactment to implement its requirements. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if a business had 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 2181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).
- 33. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), the Department of Justice ("DOJ") promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of Title III of the ADA, which are codified at 28 C.F.R. Part 36. Appendix A of the 1991 Title III regulations (republished as Appendix D to 28 C.F.R. Part 36) contains the ADA Standards for Accessible Design, which were based upon the ADA Accessibility Guidelines ("1991 ADAAG") published by the Access Board on the same date. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if a business had 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et seq.; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).
- 34. In 1994, the Access Board began the process of updating the 1991 ADAAG by establishing a committee composed of members of the design and construction indus-

tries, the building code community, and State and local government entities, as well as individuals with disabilities.

- 35. In 1999, based largely upon the report and recommendations of the advisory committee, the Access Board issued a notice of proposed rulemaking to update and revise the 1991 ADAAG.
- 36. The Access Board issued final publication of revisions to the 1991 ADAAG on July 3, 2004.
- 37. On September 30, 2004, the DOJ issued an advance notice of proposed rulemaking to begin the process of adopting the 2004 ADAAG revisions.
- 38. On June 17, 2008, the DOJ published a notice of proposed rulemaking covering Title III of the ADA.
- 39. The extended process of revising the 1991 ADAAG culminated with the DOJ's issuance of the 2010 Standards for Accessible Design ("2010 Standards"). The 2010 Standards incorporated the revised 2004 ADA Accessibility Guidelines ("ADAAG"), as well as the requirements contained in subpart D of 28 C.F.R. Part 36. The DOJ published the Final Rule detailing the 2010 Standards on September 15, 2010. The 2010 Standards became effective on March 15, 2011.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

40. Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of her disabilities by failing to comply with the requirements of the ADA and the ADAAG with regard to "Plaza North". A specific, though not exclusive, list of unlawful physical barriers and ADA violations present at "Plaza North" which limit the ability of persons in wheelchairs to access the facilities and/or to enjoy the goods, services, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein on a full and equal basis, includes the following:

- a. The "Plaza North" customer parking lot had 772 total parking spaces but had fewer than 16 parking spaces reserved for persons with disabilities that complied with ADAAG 502, in violation of ADAAG 208.2. Plaintiff requires compliant accessible parking spaces to ensure she can park safely, make a safe transfer between her vehicle and wheelchair, and travel safely between the parking lot to the building entrance.
- b. 10 parking spaces reserved for persons with disabilities in the "Plaza North" customer parking lot complying with ADAAG 502 were not dispersed on the shortest accessible routes to the accessible entrances of active tenant businesses, in violation of ADAAG 208.3.1. Plaintiff uses a wheel-chair for mobility and requires accessible routes.
- c. Parking spaces reserved as accessible parking spaces and their adjacent access aisles were defined with painted striping that had faded and degraded and failed to adequately provide widths, and a sign reserving a parking space reserved as an accessible parking space had faded beyond recognition, in violation of 28 § C.F.R. 36.211. Accessible features are useful to plaintiff, but only if they are maintained.
- d. One parking space reserved as an accessible parking space near active tenant businesses was not reserved through posted signage, and the reserved parking spaces near active tenant businesses that had signs were reserved through signage situated lower than 60 inches above the surface of the parking spaces, in violation of ADAAG 216.5 and 502.6. Low or missing signage creates the risk of someone inadvertently parking in a reserved parking space, hampers the ability of law enforcement to enforce parking laws and regulations, and makes it difficult to find accessible parking.

- e. Upon observation, A long drain was situated behind an access aisle and a parking space reserved as an accessible parking space that had openings wider than 1/2 inch, in violation of ADAAG 302.3. Long, wide openings create the risk of Plaintiff's wheelchair getting caught or suddenly stopping.
- f. Parking spaces at "Plaza North" reserved as accessible parking spaces and their adjacent access aisles had slopes steeper than 1:48, in violation of ADAAG 502.4. Plaintiff requires a flat, stable surface to make a safe transfer between her wheelchair and her vehicle.
- g. The sidewalk linking tenant business entrances and parking spaces reserved as accessible parking spaces had slopes steeper than 1:12, in violation of ADAAG 405.2. Steep ramps are difficult for Plaintiff to climb and create the risk of a fall or uncontrolled descent.
- 41. The above listing is not to be considered all-inclusive of the barriers and violations of the ADA encountered by Plaintiff or which exist at "Plaza North". To qualify as an accessible parking structure, and for a parking space to qualify an accessible parking space, the space must be located on an accessible route, the route must be the shortest accessible route, the space must be marked by appropriate signage, the space must be flanked by an access aisle, and the space and access aisle must comply with sloping requirements. ADAAG 206; 208; 216, Chapter 4 including but not limited to 402, 403, 404, 405, and 406; and 502.
- 42. Plaintiff demands removal of all access barriers listed, without creating new barriers or deviations from the 2010 standards.
- 43. In order to fully remedy the discriminatory conditions, Plaintiff requires an inspection of "Plaza North" in order to photograph and measure all such barriers to access and violations of the ADA and the ADAAG.

- 44. Compliance with the ADA standards, and the ADAAG is required by 42 U.S.C §§ 12182 and 12183 to the extent the facility was designed and constructed or altered after January 26, 1993, and the violations to the ADAAG requirements are not a result of compliance being structurally impracticable. 28 C.F.R § 36.401(a)(1).
- 45. In the alternative, to the extent any architectural elements were constructed prior to that date, compliance with the ADA standards and the ADAAG is required by 42 U.S.C § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) because removal of architectural barriers is readily achievable. Compliance with the ADA standards and the ADAAG is readily achievable by Defendant due to the lack of difficulty and low cost of remedying the above-listed barriers. Some of the above-listed violations can be remedied through the same measures prescribed by federal regulation as examples of modifications that are "readily achievable", including, but not limited to, creating accessible parking spaces. 28 C.F.R. § 36.304(b).
- 46. Compliance is also readily achievable due to the significant assistance available to businesses. Section 44 of the IRS Code allows a Disabled Access tax credit for small businesses with 30 or fewer full-time employees or with total revenues of \$1 million or less, which is intended to offset the cost of undertaking barrier removal and alterations to improve accessibility. Section 190 of the IRS Code provides a tax deduction for businesses of all sizes for costs incurred in removing architectural barriers, up to \$15,000. See **ADA** Update: Primer for Small Business, Α http://www.ada.gov/regs2010/smallbusiness/smallbusprimer2010.htm#tax (Mar. 16, 2011).
- 47. As a person with a disability, Plaintiff has a personal interest in having full and equal access to places of public accommodation and to the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or other things offered therein.

48. Without injunctive relief, Defendant's failure to remove accessibility barriers will continue to cause injury to Plaintiff, who will continue to be unable to independently access "Plaza North" and/or to enjoy the goods, services, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein on a full and equal basis, in violation of her rights under the ADA.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.

- 49. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges the above paragraphs.
- 50. Section 302(a) of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq., provides:

No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation.

- 51. Under Section 302(b)(1) of Title III of the ADA, it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations that is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals.
- 52. Defendant has discriminated against Plaintiff and others in that it failed to make its place of public accommodation fully accessible to persons with disabilities on a full and equal basis in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a) and the regulations promulgated thereunder, including the ADAAG, as described above. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to "Plaza North" and/or has been denied the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations on a full and equal basis.

- 53. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatory conduct. Defendant's violations of the ADA and ADAAG are ongoing.
- 54. Defendant has failed to remove architectural barriers to full and equal access by Plaintiff, even though removing the barriers was required and is readily achievable.
- 55. Plaintiff plans to visit "Plaza North" again in the near future. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, has suffered and is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that she will continue to suffer irreparable harm upon her planned return visit to "Plaza North" unless and until Defendant is required to remove the physical barriers to access and ADA violations that exist at Defendant's place of public accommodation, including those set forth specifically herein.
- 56. This Court has authority under 42 U.S.C. § 12188 to grant Plaintiff injunctive relief, including an order requiring Defendant to make "Plaza North" readily accessible to and independently usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA and ADAAG, and/or to close "Plaza North" until such time as Defendant cures the access barriers.
- 57. Plaintiff has retained the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action, and is entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs from Defendant, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12205, 12117, and 28 C.F.R. § 36.505.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests:

- a. Plaintiff demands a trial in Omaha, Nebraska.
- b. That the Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that Defendant's facilities, at the commencement of the instant suit, are in violation of

- Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, and the relevant implementing regulations including the ADAAG.
- c. That the Court award nominal damages.
- d. That the Court issue a permanent injunction, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.504(a), enjoining Defendant from continuing its discriminatory practices; including an order directing Defendant to remove all barriers to the maximum extent feasible or in the alternative make all readily achievable alterations to its facilities so as to remove physical barriers to access and make its facilities fully accessible to and independently usable by individuals with disabilities to the extent required by the ADA; and also including an order requiring Defendant to make all reasonable modifications in policies, practices or procedures necessary to afford all offered goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities on a full and equal basis. Plaintiff further demands that the permanent injunction direct Defendant to removal all barriers without creating new barriers to accessibility as defined by the 2010 standards.
- e. That the Court award Plaintiff her reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205 and 28 C.F.R. § 36.505, or as otherwise provided by law; and
- f. That the Court issue such other relief as it deems just and proper, and/or is allowable under Title III of the ADA.

DATED: August 1, 2018

/s/ Padraigin L. Browne

Padraigin L. Browne (MN Bar # 389962) Browne Law LLC 8530 Eagle Point Blvd, suite 100 Lake Elmo, MN 55042

E-mail: paddy@brownelawllc.com

Phone: (612) 293-4805